

Answers to 33 Questions Muslims Ask By Jay Smith

Q1: Why do you trust the Old Testament?

A: Both scripture and archaeology indicate there are no significant changes in our copies today for at least five reasons:

- **1. God promised** to preserve His word in Isaiah 55:10-11; 59:21; 1 Peter 1:24-25, Matthew 24:35. Ultimately we have to believe either God is trustworthy or He is not.
- **<u>2. Jesus</u>** and the New Testament confirmed the Old Testament scriptures in Matthew 19:4; 22:32,37; 39; 23:35; Mark 10:3-6; Luke 2:23-24; 4:4; 11:51; 20:37; 24:27,44
- 3. Archaeological evidence: In the Septuagint, the Torah was translated into Greek around 400 B.C. The Dead Sea Scrolls were from about 100 B.C. to after the time of Christ, and we can compare them with our Bibles today. Aramaic Targums are translations made around the time of Jesus. The Dead Sea Scrolls are about 95,000 fragments from 867 manuscripts of the Old Testament and other writings. About 1/3 of the Dead Sea scrolls are manuscripts of the Old Testament according to The NIV Study Bible p.1432. Archaeology shows the Bible Jesus knew was preserved. Nahal Hever is a cave near Engedi, that has a fragment written between 50 B.C. and 50 A.D. of the minor prophets in Greek. At Masada, there was a copy of Joshua dated 169-93 B.C. The Nash Papyrus, dated 150 B.C., contains the Ten Commandments. The wadi Muraba'at scroll of the Minor Prophets is from c. 132 A.D.
- **4. Early church writers**, as early as 97/98 A.D., extensively referred to the Old Testament.
- <u>5. Jewish scribes</u>, even though hostile to Christianity, preserved the same Old Testament found in every Protestant Bible today.
- As a side note for Muslims, Sura 4:150-151 says, "Those who deny Allah and his messengers, and wish to separate between Allah and his messengers, Saying: 'We believe in some but reject others': and wish to take a course midway, (151) They are in truth unbelievers;..."

Sura 3:48 says, "And Allah will teach him [Jesus] the book and Wisdom, the Torah, and the Gospel." If Jesus were taught the Old Testament, and we have the Old Testament from the time of Jesus, then Jesus was taught what we have.

Sura 3:50 says, "I [Jesus] have come to you), to attest the Torah which was before me. ... I have come to you with a Sign from your Lord. So fear Allah, and obey me." People have a near impossible time trying to do the following.



Sura 5:47 say, "Let the People of the Gospel Judge by what Allah hath revealed Therein...." If the People of the Gospel are to judge by what God has revealed in the Gospel, then how can the Gospel they are to judge by not be the Gospel God told them to judge by?

Sura 5:48 says, "To thee (People of the Book) We sent the scripture in truth, confirming the scripture that came before it, and guarding it in safety: so judge between them by what Allah hath revealed, and follow not their vain desires, diverging from the truth that hath come to thee...."

Sura 15:9-10 says "We have, without doubt, Sent down the Message; And We will assuredly Guard it [from corruption]. We did send messengers before thee Amongst the sects of old:" Sura 15:9 does not say just the "Qur'an" was guarded, but "the message."

In summary, God is Almighty, All-knowing, and far from careless. We can trust that He has always preserved right direction for those who look to follow wherever He leads.

Q2: Can we trust the New Testament?

A: Yes, there five reasons similar to the previous, ranging from trust that God would not allow His children to be totally deceived, to extensive manuscript evidence.

1. God promised to preserve His word in Isaiah 55:10-11; 59:21; 1 Peter 1:24-25, Matthew 24:35. We can trust God.

2. Very old manuscripts preserved include:

100 A.D. p6 (fragment of Luke)

117-138 A.D. John Rylands (John 18:31-33,37-38)

100-150 A.D. Chester Beatty II (p45)

125-175 A.D. - Bodmer II (p66)

125-175 A.D. p104 (fragment of Matthew)

30 more manuscripts before 300 A.D.

These early manuscripts show three things:

- 1. Additional proof that the New Testament was disseminated throughout the Roman world very early.
- 2. There were no major changes from what we have today
- 3. The key doctrines, divinity of Christ, etc. in our Bible today were in the earliest Bibles too

As a contrast with Islam, there are only a few Qur'ans preserved prior to 'Uthman's standardization. Even one of these had two fewer suras than modern Qur'ans.

3. A large number of manuscripts preserved include

8 more manuscripts around 300 A.D.

10,000 total Greek manuscripts

14,000 additional manuscripts in other languages

This shows us two things:



- 1. We precisely know every word of the original New Testament with about a 97.3% certainty.
- 2. Even the 2.7% variations show there were no significant changes that affected Christian doctrine.

As a contrast with Islam, the *Sahih Muslim* hadiths refer to a Sura in the Qur'an which has been lost to modern times.

- <u>4. Early church writers</u>, extensively referred to the New Testament. For example, Clement of Rome, writing 97/98 A.D., referred to many passages in the book of Hebrews.
- **5. Even heretics** support the reliability of the scriptures. One early Gnostic heretic named Tatian (170 A.D.) wrote a "harmony" of the gospels, leaving out the parts that showed Jesus was a man. An Arian heretic named Ufilas translated the Bible into Gothic around 250 A.D. He had every incentive to "tone" down the many parts of the Bible that showed a high view of Jesus, yet he did not. Ufilas' translation is a faithful rendering.

Q3: In Gen 16:1, since Abraham and Sarai had no children, how could Abraham have more children after Isaac?

A: We can let the Muslim historian *al-Tabari* (died 923 A.D.) vol.2 p.127 answer this one: he said that Abram married again and had many children. Genesis 16:1 shows it was Sarai, not Abraham, who was sterile. So Abraham had more children, but Sarai did not. See *When Critics Ask* p.54 for more info. You know, Abraham was certainly an interesting man. Here was this man in a culture that worshipped false gods, called by the True God to leave and follow Him to an unknown place, and Abraham left what He knew and followed the True God. Today we should do the same.

Q4: In Gen 16:1-4, why did Abraham [allegedly] commit adultery with Hagar?

A: No, Ishmael was not the product of adultery. And if having a concubine is committing adultery, then Mohammed had concubines too. Four points to consider in the answer.

Concubines were legal: Polygamy was permitted in the Old Testament, and Sarah gave Hagar to Abraham as a concubine. So what Abraham did was "legal" according to both God had revealed to Him and later Mosaic Law, as well as Mesopotamian law of the time.

Similar examples: Furthermore this is not so unusual as it might seem to some modern readers. According to *Hard Sayings of the Bible* p.121-122, similar examples of a maidservant standing in for a barren wife are found in the laws of the Code of Hammurabi, the Nuzi Tablets, the Alalakh Tablets, and the Mari Tablets. However, if something is both commonly practiced and "legal", that does not necessarily mean it pleases God. Genesis 16:4-5 shows that Sarah soon regretted her action.

Hagar was proud of her status: Moreover, when Hagar became Abram's wife, she did not object. In fact, Hagar was proud of her pregnancy and taunted Sarai. (Genesis 16:4,5) In the Old Testament, while marrying a captive was OK, nowhere was sex outside of marriage justified as anything but wicked immorality.

In contrast, Muslims are permitted to force their captives to have sex with them, even though they are not married to them. See the *Bukhari Hadiths* vol.3 no.113,432 for more



info. Also, the early Muslim historian *al-Tabari* vol.2 p.72 claims Sarah gave permission for Abraham to marry Hagar.

In conclusion, God is holy, Abraham was not an adulterer, and Christians have an even higher standard of holiness than Mohammed had for his companions in the Hadiths.

Q5: In Gen 22:1-18, could the boy who Abraham almost sacrificed be Ishmael, not Isaac, as Muslims claim? Otherwise, how could Isaac be Abram's "only son"?

A: It was Isaac who was sacrificed and not Ishmael for at least four reasons:

Even the Qur'an does not say it was Ishmael: Genesis 22:2 says it was Isaac. For Muslims, while the Muslim *Qur'an* discusses this in Sura 37:99-111, no where in the entire *Qur'an* does it say whether it was Ishmael or Isaac. In fact, many early Islamic scholars taught it was Isaac, while others taught it was Ishmael. See *al-Tabari* vol.2 p.68. *al-Tabari* vol.2 p.82-97 says 16 Muslim authorities said Isaac while 23 Muslim authorities said Ishmael.

Only boy Abram had at the time: Ishmael was 14 when Isaac was born. Hagar and Ishmael were sent way to a different place the day Isaac was weaned in Genesis 21:8-10. Abraham was tested "a long time" after this in Genesis 21:34, and a "boy" was on the altar in Genesis 22:12.

Only heir: Isaac was the only heir, and only son also means "beloved son". While the culture of the time accepted taking concubines for procreation, inheritance and the right of the firstborn would go to sons of actual wives, not the sons of concubines. See *Bible Difficulties and Seeming Contradictions* p.141 for more info.

Only son of promise: Genesis 21:12 says, "though Isaac your offspring shall be reckoned". Abraham had other sons too, but they were born after this.

Q6: In Gen 32:24-30, is the Allah of Christianity so weak that He takes all night to wrestle Jacob?

A: First of all it was God's angel (whom Jacob called a man), not God Himself who wrestled. Jacob said He saw God face to face, but Jacob only encountered God through the angel. Regardless though, God sent this angel, who had the power to crush Jacob.

If a father wrestles his strong-willed two year old, and even let's the two year old win at times, that does not make him a weak father. In like manner, God's intention was to contend with Jacob's stubbornness, not to destroy Jacob and his tenacity. God wanted to bring Jacob to an understanding of who he was, not kill him.

Imagine how great it would be if your body was the same except that it was 100 times stronger. You could excel at athletics, break through walls, and run very fast. However, every time you tried to pick up a flower, you crushed it, every time you held a little child's hand you broke it, and every time you held your spouse, they went to the hospital. Maybe just having your muscles be 100 times stronger is not so good after all.

God is all-powerful, but God also has gentleness and finesse. God is infinitely times more powerful than us, but God has greater control over His own strength than we do of ours. Zephaniah 3:17 (NIV) gives an example of how the Almighty is gentle: "The LORD your



God is with you, he is mighty to save. He will take great delight in you, he will quiet you with his love, he will rejoice over you with singing."

In 1 Kings 19:11-13 God tells Elijah he will experience the presence of the Lord. It was not in the ensuing powerful wind, the earthquake, or the fire, but in a gentle whisper. **So to summarize,** Christians worship a God who is gentle without being any less the Almighty.

Q7: In Ex 19:11was Mt. Sinai really Mecca, since Gal 4:25 says it was in Arabia?

A: Mt. Sinai is in the Sinai Peninsula; unless Moses had trucks or trains, Mecca would be too far away. Four points to consider in the answer.

- **1. It does not matter:** If Mt. Sinai were really Mecca, that would not make any difference to Christians, except that the stages of Israel's journey would no longer makes sense. It is apparently important to some Muslims however, as it would give credibility to the idea that Mecca had some part in God's work prior to Mohammed. However, other Muslims, such as the footnote 2504 in the *Holy Quran : English Translation of the Meanings and Commentary* equate Mt. Sinai with Jabal Musa, as do the majority of Christians.
- **2. Different Arabia:** In Galatians 4:25 "Arabia" here is not the modern Muslim country of Saudi Arabia, but the Roman Province of Arabia. The Roman Province of Arabia was the Sinai Peninsula, and the northwestern portion of modern-day Jordan, and a small part of Syria. See either *The Roman World* p.107 or *Encyclopedia Britannica* under Roman History for a map proving this. As a side note, the Romans never conquered near Mecca.
- **3. Not Mecca:** People with flocks and herds could only travel about 6 miles per day. An 11-day journey around 800 miles from Mecca to Kadesh Barnea, with flocks and herds, young animals, and young children, on foot, would be incredible. See either *The Roman World* p.107 or *Encyclopedia Britannica* under Roman History for a map.
- **4. In the Sinai Peninsula:** The Sinai Peninsula is a south-pointing triangle with the mountains on the southern part, which Exodus 19:2 and Numbers 3:14; 9:1,5; 10:12 call the Wilderness ("Desert") of Sinai. The Desert of Sin separates Elim from Sinai. Numbers 33:3-50 tells each place the Israelites camped. Unfortunately, we do not know the location of many of these campsites, but by looking at them, we can see what is between what.

Within the Wilderness of Sinai, there are actually two mountains, close to each other, that fit the location of Mount Sinai.

Gebel Musa/Mousa (7,363 ft) This is the traditional view, at least since about 500 A.D. It has very steep cliffs. The Monastery of St. Catherine is at the foot of this mountain. Many but not all Muslims view this as Mt. Sinai also. The *New International Dictionary of the Bible* p.674 has a picture of Jebel Musa.

Ras es-safsafeh (6,540 ft 1993 meters) is two miles (3.2 km) north of Gebel Musa on the same ridge. It has a wider plain at its foot.



Gebel Serbal (unlikely): Eusebius (325 A.D.) thought this. However, *The New Bible Dictionary* (1978) p.1193-1194 mentions there is no wilderness near its foot. **In summary,** while Christians and even many Muslims agree that Mt. Sinai is in Sinai, we can be close to God anywhere, and do not need special places, statues of metal, or even black stones to be close to Him.

Q8: In Num 36, why could women [allegedly] not inherit in the Bible? In Islam for example, daughters do have the right to inherit.

A: Four points to consider in the answer.

In the Bible Zelophehad's daughters <u>did</u> inherit from their father. This was right and proper as God's will, according to Numbers 27:7-8. Furthermore, Numbers 36:8 also says speaks in the future of every daughter who inherits land, so they could inherit. Job's daughters inherited in Job 42:15 too.

Inheritance passing from tribe to tribe in Numbers 36:9 is the issue here, not the prosperity of Zelophehad's daughters.

The resolution was the daughters kept the land, but they had to marry within their own tribe of Manasseh. In the future, all women who inherited <u>land</u> had to marry within their tribe.

In the New Testament, 1 Peter 1:3-4 shows that all who believe have the most important inheritance of all, an inheritance in heaven kept for us.

As a side note, in orthodox Islam daughters only get half the inheritance of their brothers. Sura 4:11 says, "Allah (thus) directs you As regards your children's (inheritance): to the male, A portion equal to that Of two females:..." (Yusef Ali's translation p.209).

In summary, since Numbers 36:8 speaks of daughters inheriting land, and Numbers 27:7-8 speaks of daughters inheriting in general, it would be against the Old Testament not to allow daughters to inherit. Galatians 3:28 says that in Christ there is no male nor female, and in the rest of the Bible, old and new, there is nothing restricting a woman's rights of inheritance, or of economic opportunities in general. In contrast to Islam, women in Christianity have just as much to look forward to in heaven than men.

Q9: In Dt 18:17-18; Dt 33:1-2, and Dt 34:10-11, was Mohammed prophesied here, as some Muslims claim?

A: Deuteronomy 18:15-18 says God will raise up a prophet, that they will hear, like Moses from their midst, among their brethren. Was Jesus a prophet? Did many Jews hear Jesus? Was Jesus among the Jews? Was Jesus a Jew? Muslims should have no problem agreeing that this verse fits Jesus more than Mohammed. Here are a few more points.



a. Deuteronomy 33:1-2 says "the Lord", and Muslims do not call Mohammed their Lord. ('Alawite Muslims and other Ghulat groups consider Mohammed God, but they are exceptions.)

b. Deuteronomy 34:10 that "since then there has not arisen in Israel a prophet like Moses." This epitaph was written, probably by Joshua, long before Jesus came.

- c. Deuteronomy 34:10 mentions "face to face", and Mohammed never said he got his words directly from Allah, but through angels (Sura 2:97). Jesus communicated directly with God the Father according to John 1:18 and other passages.
- d. The next verse, 34:11, says no other prophet did those awesome miracles like Moses did. Mohammed, according to what was written in the Qur'an (Sura 17:90-93) never performed miracles like these, except for reciting the Qur'an. (The Qur'an contradicts what later Muslim traditions in the Hadiths say.)
- e. In the Qur'an itself, Sura 29:27 says the prophethood came through Isaac and Jacob. In Yusuf Ali's translation of the Qur'an, he says, "And We gave (Abraham) Isaac and Jacob, and ordained Among his progeny Prophethood and Revelation,..." While the parentheses around Abraham is in Yusuf Ali's translation, the entire word, "Abraham" is not in the Arabic, and Yusuf Ali felt the need to add "Abraham" to what Muslims view as God's word.
- f. Finally, Jesus' apostle Peter said this was fulfilled in Jesus in Acts 3:22-26. The apostle Peter would be in a great position to know.
- **1. Either,** Jesus made a great mistake allowing a deceiver like Peter to mislead people for almost 2,000 years who were trying to follow God, and God did not lift a finger to tell people the truth.
- **2.** Or, Jesus knew what He was doing when he selected Peter, and God did not correct something that needed no correction.
- **3.** Or else, Peter did not say that, and the book of Acts was corrupted prior to the first extra-Biblical mention we have of this referring to Christ, which was about 138 A.D.

The early church fathers mentioned this verse as referring to Jesus. Some of them were

- Justin Martyr 138-165 A.D.
- Irenaeus 182-188 A.D.
- Tertullian 220-220 A.D.
- Origen 225-254 A.D.
- Chrysostom 407 A.D.

Justin Martyr was born around 114 A.D., though some think 110 A.D. His first *Apology* was written between 138 A.D. and his death in 165 A.D. Obviously, he had to have read of this prophecy referring to Christ before he wrote it down.

A Muslim would have to say not only that Justin was wrong, but all New Testament manuscripts recorded Peter's saying incorrectly.

In addition, translations to other languages were made very early; the dates above or not the dates of the first translations, but only the dates of the earliest manuscripts that survive today. These are valuable because they are an independent chain of transmission, that people can use as a crosscheck on the Greek manuscripts. The chain of transmission of these manuscripts, from Africa to Asia, all agree that Peter said this refers to Jesus.



See When Cultists Ask p.43-44,45-46 and When Critics Ask p.125-126, p.131-132, and p.133 for more info.

Q10: In 1 Sam 1:2; Gen 16:2; 25:1; 29:23-24;28-29, 2 Sam 20:3, etc., why did God permit polygamy (many wives) for Abraham, Jacob, and David and others?

A: Four points to consider in the answer

- 1. Polygamy was never God's perfect will, as implied when He made Adam and Eve. He said the two (not many) shall become one flesh.
- 2. God permitted many things, such as divorce (Matthew 5:31-32; Mark 10:2-12), being that their hearts were hard. Some things, such as polygamy and rash vows, God left for people to figure out were not good.
- 3. Even in Old Testament times, polygamy was not necessarily the norm. There were only fifteen examples in the Old Testament until Solomon's time, and four or five after that time.
- 4. Ever since the time of Paul, and today, godly elders and deacons are not to have more than one wife (1 Timothy 3:2,12; Titus 1:6).

Q11: In 1 Sam 13:14, how could David be a man after God's own heart, since David later committed some very serious sins?

A: This is a question for Muslims as well as Christians, because the Qur'an also speaks of David as a true prophet of God. However, while most Muslims think David was sinless, the Bible shows that David sinned greatly. David was a man after God's own heart, not because he never sinned, but because, having sinned, he repented.

Q12: In 1 Sam 25:4-35, did David [allegedly] running a protection racket?

A: No, but the questioner would be right in not wanting to follow a religion whose prophet and leaders profited from protection rackets. Four points to consider in the answer.

- 1. David did not have a protection racket, since David, the one doing protecting, was giving wealth away to those he was protecting, as David did in 1 Samuel 30:26-31.
- 2. David was not asking Nabal for 1) a regular payment, or 2) gold, silver, or any other valuables. David was merely requesting whatever food Nabal could spare. Nabal never complained of any request for money of valuables. Rather, 1 Samuel 25:11 shows that Nabal understood this request as only for bread, water, and meat.
- 3. David later acknowledged, with regret, that he would have done evil to have killed Nabal and his men, according to 1 Samuel 25:13,33-34, 39.
- 4. David was not angry with Nabal because he broke any kind of agreement for protection. Rather, David was angry with Nabal' for his insulting answer in 1 Samuel 12:10-11.

In contrast to this, Muslims from the time of Mohammed onward have had a special tax on just Christians and Jews called the Jizya. According to the *Bukhari Hadith* vol.2 page 7, in the glossary Jizya is a "Head-tax imposed by Islam on the people of the Scriptures and other people who have a releaved book (Non-Muslims) when they are under Muslim



rule." Muslims even say that Christians and Jews should be grateful for the Jizya, because without it, they would have no right to dwell in Muslim lands without being killed.

Q13: In 1 Ki 11:1-3, why did Solomon have 700 wives and 300 concubines, which contradicted God's command in Dt 17:17?

A: Deuteronomy 17:17 says the king should not have many wives, and David and Solomon sinned by doing so. Marrying wives was a common way of strengthening alliances, but it was still wrong to disobey God. 1 Kings 11:1-4 says that Solomon sinned in marrying these foreign wives, and turned his heart away from God.

Hard Sayings of the Bible p.229 has an interesting application of this. While Solomon would have set a higher standard as an example, he probably began to see himself as beyond the need for this restriction from God. When a Christian leader today regards himself as beyond God's restrictions for common Christians, that leader is in very serious spiritual trouble. In the Qur'an no Muslim man is permitted to have more than four wives, with the only exception being for Mohammed, who apparently had about 15 wives after Khadija, plus two concubines.

Q14: In 1 Ki 11:1-3, does this approve of polygamy?

A: No, because Solomon sinned in having so many wives. In general, the Old Testament permitted polygamy, but did not encourage it as Genesis 1 says, "the two", not "the many" shall become one flesh. In the New Testament, polygamy was not outlawed, but no elder or church leader (*episcopos*) could have more than one wife, as 1 Timothy 3:1 indicates.

Q15: In 2 Ki 2:23-25, why did Elijah, a great prophet who was taken directly to heaven, had a bear kill 40 children because he didn't like being called 'baldy'?

A: Three points to consider in the answer.

- 1. Elisha (not Elijah) does not bear the responsibility for the bears, they were sent by God.
- 2. The Hebrew word for "youth" here can mean people up to around 20 years old. Joseph was a "youth" in Genesis 37:2, as were the soldiers in Abram's army in Genesis 14:25.
- 3. Youth gangs could be violent back then just as today.

Q16: Does Ps 45:3-5 refer to Mohammed, as some Muslims claim?

A: No, even Muslims cannot really see this way, except for some of the Ghulat sects of Islam, which think Mohammed actually is God. Psalm 45:6 says, "Your throne, O God, will last for ever and ever." (NIV) In addition to Mohammed never claiming to be God, Mohammed never had a throne or a scepter either. See *When Critics Ask* p.238 and *When Cultists Ask* p.64 for a complementary answer.

Q17: In Isa 21:7, is the rider on "donkeys" Jesus, and the rider on "camels" Mohammed?

A: No. Three points to consider in the answer.

1. These were messengers at that time coming to report that Babylon has fallen. The only special significance is that perhaps the camel riders might be scouts, donkey riders might be civilians, and charioteers might be military men.



- 2. The evil Midianites rode on camels too, but that is just as irrelevant as talking about Mohammed here.
- 3. Finally, there were camel riders (plural), so even if one was Mohammed, this would mean that another camel rider would be coming after him.

There is no point in trying to "strain a gnat and swallow a camel" by trying to use this verse to show consistency with Islam when there is so much in the Bible (Fatherhood of God, Trinity, saved by grace, Holy Spirit, etc.) that runs counter to Islam.

See When Cultists Ask p.79 and When Critics Ask p.269 for more info.

Q18: In Hab 3:3, could this be a prediction of Mohammed?

A: Only some Ghulat Muslims should think so, since this verse speaks of "God", not "Mohammed". Some Ghulat Muslim sects do believe Mohammed is God, though that is heresy to Sunni Muslim ears. However, if any Sunni Muslims themselves really took this question seriously, they would have to believe Mohammed is God too, since "God came from Teman".

Other reasons this cannot refer to Mohammed, is that "His praise" does not refer to Mohammed, since the praise is for God." Mount Paran is where the Israelites camped, and far from Mecca. *When Cultists Ask* p.89 gives essentially the same answer. See *When Critics Ask* p.315 for more info.

Finally, some Muslims apparently are concerned to find more continuity between Mohammed and the Bible, just as there is continuity between Jesus and the promised Messiah of the Old Testament. However, some Muslims look for it in the unlikeliest of places, Habakkuk 3:3, in trying to find something to predict Mohammed.

Q19: In the Gospels, did Jesus really die on the cross, or did God miraculously and undetectably substitute someone else as the Muslim Qur'an claims?

A: The different views of Christians and Muslims are a fundamental difference between their two religions.

Christians say it was really Jesus because all Christian and well as non-Christian accounts say it was Jesus. The Allah of Muslims is different from the God of the Bible, and Allah has fooled and deceived all his people into thinking Allah substituted another.

Muslims say Allah is the same as the God of the Bible. Allah's switching Jesus would not be detectable by anyone, and thus there would be no historical evidence of the switch. **Both** can agree that at some point in time, Allah, the god of Islam, totally fooled and deliberately deceived all of Allah's own people.

Q20: Since God does not have a physical body, how can Jesus be the Son of God?

A: Christians do NOT believe Jesus was the Son of God in a crude physical or sexual sense. Rather this term is an expression of deep meaning of how Jesus is different from every created being. Shi'ite Muslims have a slightly similar concept. When they say 'Ali is the finger of God, they do not believe that God has ten fingers like people do. Rather, this is an expression with a deeper meaning for them.

Q21: If Jesus is not a created being, how can He be begotten of God?

A: Begotten means "come from" without necessarily being created, a little like Muslims believe the Qur'an was from Allah yet uncreated. Before the beginning of time, Jesus,



who is also called the Word of God, came from God the Father. So, in different ways, both Muslims and Christians say the Word of God came from God but was uncreated.

Q22: In Mt 5:17 and Acts 10:10-16, since Jesus said he would not abolish anything in the law, why don't Christians follow the Old Testament dietary laws like Muslims allegedly do?

A: While neither Christians nor Muslims follow the dietary laws, Christians do not because they listen to Jesus. Five points to consider in the answer.

- 1) **At this time,** Jesus' followers obeyed the Old Testament dietary laws. Jesus actually said that not one jot or tittle would pass away <u>until all is accomplished</u>.
- 2) **The fact of Jesus' resurrection,** fundamentally changed the way God dealt with His children. An angel informed Peter, Jesus' apostle, that God had made all food clean in Acts 10:9-16. Note it does not say these animals were always clean, but rather that God had made them clean.
- 3) **Even Muslims** who bring up this objection, themselves have to agree that some of the Old Testament dietary laws are not to be followed. Muslims feel they can eat camel meat (and Mohammed did so), yet Leviticus 11:3-8; Deuteronomy 14:6-8 prohibit eating it. Mohammed also said it was lawful to eat fat. *Ibn-i-Majah* vol.4 no.3274 p.437, yet *Ibn-i-Majah* vol.4 no.3383 p.495 says that Mohammed knew the Jews were not permitted to eat fat or blood (Lev. 3:17)
- 4) **Listen to Jesus** in Matthew 15:10,17-20 and Mark 7:14-15. Jesus said it is what comes out of a man that makes him unclean, not what goes in. Mark 7:19 shows that by this Jesus declared all foods clean. If we call Jesus a prophet, we should listen to His words.
- 5) A voice from heaven commanded Peter to eat in Acts 10:10-16, showing him that the dietary laws were only in effect until Jesus' sacrifice, not after. We should obey the voice of God's angel and Jesus' apostle.

In conclusion, we should not ignore what God's prophets said, but listen to them.

Q23: In Lk 3:23-33, how could Mary be descended from Judah, since Elizabeth was from the daughters of Aaron in Lk 1:5, and Mary and Elizabeth were cousins in Lk 1:36?

A: The Bible does not specify the tribe of their mothers.

- Therefore, Mary and Elizabeth could be cousins based on the following possibilities:
- Two mothers were sisters: If their mothers being were from an unspecified tribe.
- Mary mother and Elizabeth's father siblings: If Mary's mother were a sister of Elizabeth's father, thus Mary's mother would be from Aaron and Levi.
- Mary's father and Elizabeth's mother siblings: Mary's father being a brother of Elizabeth's mother, thus Elizabeth's mother would be from Judah.

A Muslim saw this as proving Mary was descended from Aaron. This is important to Muslims, because if Mary is not from Aaron, then the Qur'an is in error. Muslims generally believe that the Qur'an on earth is a word for word copy of a tablet of the Qur'an [in Heaven] Sura 85:20-22.

See When Critics Ask p.381 for a similar answer.



Q24: In Jn 14:16-26; 15:26; 16:5-15, was Mohammed prophesied in the New Testament as the *Paracletos*, or Holy Spirit as some Muslims claim?

A: No. If this were true, then Muslims would believe these five things (which they do not)

- 1. Mohammed glorified Jesus. (John 16:14)
- 2. Allah sent Mohammed in Jesus' name. (John 14:26)
- 3. Mohammed was also sent by Jesus too. (John 16:7)
- 4. Mohammed took Jesus' wisdom and made it known to us. (John 16:15)
- 5. Mohammed was "in" the apostles. (John 16:17)

Thus, no knowledgeable Muslim would believe these verses refer to Mohammed. These verses must refer to another, who was sent from God.

On the other hand, maybe Muslims should glorify Jesus, if they think that Mohammed did, based on these verses.

See When Cultists Ask p.182-183 and When Critics Ask p.419-420 for more info.

Q25: In Acts 4:36, why did the Christian church [allegedly] take the Gospel of Barnabas out of the Bible?

A: It was never taken out; God did not intend for books with false teaching, written over 1,000 years later, to slip in. The Gospel of Barnabas contradicts a key point of both the Bible and the Qur'an, by saying Jesus was NOT the Messiah. The Gospel of Barnabas was a 15th century forgery in Italian with historical anachronisms.

- 1. **Basic facts** show that is not an ancient work. The Gospel of Barnabas is known only in Italian, and no ancient writer ever referred to it. It mentions things that were not used until centuries later. Furthermore, other gospel forgeries written in Arabic were also found in Granada. They were discovered after 1588, and the forgers were Moors. Though one Muslim writer, Ata ur-Rahman, has confused this with another writing called the Letter/Epistle of Barnabas, there is no similarity except for the name.
- 2. Who wrote it? Handwriting analysis suggest the gospel of Barnabas might have been written by Fra Marino, a vengeful, disillusioned former father inquisitor of Venice from 1542 to 1550. On the other hand, a Spaniard Anselmo Turmeda (later called Abd-Allah ibn Adb Allah after he converted to Islam) said he was a former priest and studied in Bologna, Italy for ten years. His teacher at Bologna was a secret Muslim. Mention of Spanish coins in the Gospel of Barnabas supports this.
- **3. Contradicts both the Bible and Qur'an:** Jesus is <u>not</u> the Messiah. ch.83 p.181 ch.97 p.223 ch.42 p.97
- The Messiah is Mohammed. ch.97 p.225-227
- God created all things for the Messiah. ch.191 p.427
- God created everything for Mohammed ch.39 p.91 "[Mohammed] shall be my messenger, for whom I have created all things; who shall give light to the world when he shall come; whose soul was set in a celestial splendour sixty thousand years before I made anything."



- "The messenger of God [Mohammed] shall answer: 'O Lord, I remember that when though didst create me, thou saidst that thou hadst willed to make for love of me the world and paradise, and angels and men, that they might glorify thee by me thy servant." ch.55 p.131. Also ch.56. p.133
- 4. **Some Other Differences with the Qur'an** should make Muslims wary of wanting to appeal to this "Gospel". According to the *Bukhari Hadith* vol. 4 no.712 Mohammed said that one of the three worst lies is "to attribute to me what I have not said."
- Faithful Muslims who do not have works will be in Hell for 70,000 years. ch.137 p.319
- Mohammed will go to Hell and be terrified as he beholds the punishment of others ch.135 p.315
- God is a father. ch.133 p.307
- God is our Father. (-no sons, though) ch.17 p.31,33
- 5. **General Errors Sailing to Inland Cities:** These are not just small mistakes, but demonstrate that the author knew very little about the geography and history of Palestine.
- Jesus went to the Sea of Galilee, and having embarked in a ship sailed to his city of Nazareth. ch.20 p.41 (Nazareth is inland.)
- Pharisees in Jesus' time were very strange in their ascetism. ch.145 p.337-339
- God gave Jesus bad consequences because others called Jesus God. ch.112 p.257
- 6. **Historical Anachronisms:** The point here is not that the Gospel of Barnabas has a few historical oddities. The point is that the numerous errors prove that the book was written during the Middle Ages in Europe.
- Coins in chapter 54 (golden denarius divided into sixty minuti) were Spanish.
- Abraham's father claimed there were an infinite number of gods. (The Sumerians did not have the concept of infinity) ch.26 p.57
- "Whereupon, as the food was going down [Adam's throat], he remembered the words of God; wherefore, wishing to stop the food, he put his hand into this throat, where every man has the mark." (The phrase "Adam's apple" was first a Medieval European phrase) ch.40 p.93
- Pilate was governor of Judea, when Jesus was born. ch.3 p.7
- Jubilee is now every 100 years. ch.83 p.191-193
- Kings' barons. (Barons were Medieval) ch.131 p.301
- You desire horses like knights. (They had no knights in Jesus' time.) ch.69 p.159
- The burden of the republic. ch.69 p.161
- Pinnacle where the scribes used to preach. ch.127 p.291 & ch.129 p.297 & ch.12 p.19
- Prodigal son, new [leg] hose. ch.147 p.241
- Lazarus and his two sisters were proprietors in other towns of Magdala and Bethany, just like in the Middle Ages! ch.194 p.433
- Jesus (really Judas) was dressed as a juggler. ch.217 p.475
- Pine-cones (There were no pine cones where Jesus lived.) ch.113 p.259
- Fistula. (A medical term not used until the Middle Ages for an opening in the body for the purpose of drainage.) ch.120 p.275



MINISTRIES

- Jesus could not read at age 12. ch.9 p.15
- Jesus made prayer in union with the messenger of God, and Jesus heard Mohammed's voice, [Did Mohammed live before he was born?] ch.84 p.195
- These "more than a few" errors prove this book was written during the Middle Ages in Europe.

Conclusion: Imagine you were a Muslim who was told that someone found a lost "book" from God. Among other things, this "Sura" mentioned that Mohammed sailed on a boat to Mecca, and this Sura contradicted the teaching of the Bible and contradicted the Our'an on ten points. The oldest manuscript of the alleged Sura was written in Italian, which is not only not a Mideastern language, but Italian did not exist in the time of Mohammed. Finally, this supposed Sura had some historical customs, which did not occur until 1,000 years later in Europe.

Q26: Has the Bible been corrupted?

A: No. Both the Our'an and the Bible teach that God preserves His word. According to the Qur'an, in Mohammed's time the Christians still had the Gospel. We have many copies of the New Testament from 100 to 200 A.D., and the Old Testament Dead Sea scrolls from prior to Mohammed's time. See the first answer for more on the Old Testament.

Q27: Why is there uncertainty in some Bible verses?

A: God promised to preserve His word, and it has been preserved such that there is no uncertainty that affects God's teaching. God did allow insignificant transmission errors, though. Apparently God is more concerned with what we believe and do than the individual syllables. Likewise, there are changes in Qur'an due to abrogated verses and 'Uthman's editing.

Even today, there are differences in Arabic versions of the Qur'an. For example, Geisler and Saleeb in Answering Islam p.193 point out some Arabic discrepancies: Sura 28:48 [sahirani/sihrani], Sura 32:6 [ummahatuhum/ummahatuhum wa hyua abun lahum] Sura 34:18 [rabbana ba'id/rabuna ba'ada], Sura 38:22 [tis'un/tis'atun]. Sura 19:35 [tantaruna/yamtaruna]. See W. St. Clair-Tisdell A Manual of the Leading Muhammedan Objections to Christianity (London: Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge, 1904 p.60.). There are also significant differences between the 'Uthman's Qur'an and the Qur'an used today. Why the changes, and why do they not change it back?

O28: Why did Christians have the Crusades, the Spanish Inquisition, Catholics and Protestants in Ireland, Serbs (Greek Orthodox) against Croats (Roman Catholic), and Bosnian Muslims?

A: Jesus was the Prince of peace, and He never said to kill someone because they had a different religion. The "Christian" Crusades were not a part of the Christianity of the Bible. The Crusades (or Christian Jihads) were an evil thing Europeans learned from Islamic Jihads.

Q29: Can I get to heaven without accepting Jesus Christ as my Lord and Savior?

A: No, you cannot get to Heaven if you refuse to take Jesus as your Lord and Savior. Four points to consider in the answer.



- Fairness: God is good, Holy, fair, and just to all (Hebrews 6:10) God's judges people based on the knowledge they have (Romans 4:15; 5:13). Abraham did not know the name of Jesus, yet He followed God and He was still saved through Jesus. (John 8:56, Hebrews 9)
- Only One Way: We cannot get to heaven on our own righteousness; we need God's mercy and grace through Jesus. Jesus is not just a way to God, but the one and only way to God. (Jn 14:6; 15:5; Acts 4:12)
- Reject Jesus as the Son of God and you reject Heaven: Even to a very religious people, the Jewish Pharisees, Jesus said that if you reject Him, you will indeed die in your sins. (John 8:24)
- God seeks: God does not want anyone to perish (2 Peter 3:9), but as Jeremiah wept over his people (Jeremiah 13:17; 14:17) and as Jesus wept over Jerusalem (Luke 19:41-44) and as Peter pleaded with his listeners (Acts 2:40), God, with no less desire, seeks out His own.

Q30. How do Christians pray?

A: The Bible has many prayers we can use as examples, but Jesus specifically taught His disciples how to pray in Matthew 6:9-13. Christians view prayer as talking with God; hence few Christian prayers are memorized. Christian prayers often end with phrases such as "in Jesus' name", because it is by Jesus' sacrifice that we have access to pray to the Father. (John 16:26)

Christians are to pray to God continuously, as commanded in 1 Thessalonians 5:17; Ephesians 6:18; Philippians 4:4;6; Hebrews 13:15; and by the example in Romans 1:9-10 and Colossians 1:9, 1 Thessalonians 1:2-3; 3:10. This is unlike Islam, where there are specific times prayer is forbidden in *Bukhari* vol.2 no.283. *Fiqh us-Sunnah* vol.2 p.11 says "'Umar saw him and told him: 'Sit, the People of the Book were destroyed because they did not differentiate between their prayers.' The Prophet said: 'Well said, Ibn al-Khattab.'"

Q31. Christianity is not the religion of my culture or my parents.

A: If you go back far enough in time, perhaps everyone has ancestors who were an idol-worshipper, murderer, cannibal, burned widows, or did similar horrible things. Should you follow their example? No! In Luke 16:19-31 Jesus speaks of a man in Hell who wishes someone would warn his brothers not to do what he did. Perhaps some of your ancestors, in Hell right now, are hoping that you will turn and not do what they did.

Even if it was the religion of your culture, you still have to choose between following traditional culture and following God. In Jesus' time they had so many extra traditions and legalism, that Jesus said they had no room for His word (John 8:37). That is why they wanted to crucify Jesus.

Belief in the in the true God was not the religion of Abraham's parents either. Abraham had to make a choice: either follow God or follow tradition. Even if a tradition is not wrong, if you love and follow your tradition more than God, then your tradition is a stumbling block for you. In 2 Corinthians 11:1-4 Paul wrote of his concern about anything that would lead us away from our devotion to Christ.



Q32: Why are you so negative about Islam?

A: We love Muslim people and wish the best for them - that they would live in joy in Heaven forever. Since Islam has led people away from God, we are critical of Islam for their benefit. However, we are not nearly as negative about Islam as Muslims themselves. When worshippers at a mosque in Pakistan are gunned down, simply because they are Shi'ites, that is negative. When Tamerlane, who built a mosque, made a mound of 70,000 skulls of the Muslims people living in Isfahan, that is monstrous.

Christians should not be negative toward Muslims (or anyone else) is three ways.

- a. We never curse Muslims like Mohammed cursed us. At the end of Mohammed's life he said, "May Allah curse the Jews and Christians for they built the places of worship at the graves of their Prophets." (*Bukhari* vol.1 no.427 p.255). Notice that he did not differentiate between true and hypocritical Christians; he just cursed all of them.
- b. We do not want to say anything that "slanderous" (= false + negative). For example, that the Jews were wicked because they called Ezra ('Uzair) son of Allah, as the Qur'an in Sura 9:30 says. Jews worshipped Ezra according to *Bukhari* vol.6 no.105 p.86.
- c. Mohammed said to "lampoon the pagans in verse" according to *Bukhari* vol.8 no.174 p.113. So at least we can agree with modern Muslims (I hope) that being negative like this is not what a person of God should do.

As for us, Jesus spent over 1/3 of all His recorded words either rebuking, warning specific people, warning in general, or correcting false things. He was encouraging also, and we are too. Not only do we ask, "What would Jesus do?", but we also should ask, "What would Jesus want us to say?" Yes we are "warners" like Jeremiah, John the Baptist, Paul, and even Jesus, but we want you to know that we also love you. We would never want to hurt you, even though Muslims hurt Christians, and we want only the best for you.

O33: Why do you Christians [allegedly] attack Muslims?

A: We care for Muslims people; we do not attack them. Three words answer this question: Bosnians, Jesus, and villagers.

- Bosnians: When Serbs were ruthlessly slaughtering Bosnian Muslims, Americans stood against that. Serbs might have perceived America as being against them, but we were not against Serbian people. We were for protecting the oppressed, and we were against the atrocities that some (but not all) Serbs did. Likewise we have nothing against the Afghans, as our aid shipments to them show, but when our own civilians were attacked, we responded to try to protect ourselves from this happening again.
- Jesus said we were to turn the other cheek, and we do that as individuals. However, on a government level Romans 13:4 says it does not bear the sword in vain. Western culture is not Christian, though Christianity has heavily influenced it. Western culture is not always right, but we have a duty to stand against what is wrong. There are things wrong besides murdering people. Treating women as second class citizens, forbidding them to work outside the home, counting their



witness in court as only half that of a man, and who should rarely be outside the home, are all wrong ideas. God is mocked when it is done in His name.

O Villagers in Indonesia and Sudan are being slaughtered today simply because they are not Muslims. Would you tell me why many Muslims across the world feel they should be doing this, and yet Muslims think any peaceful, rational criticism of Islamic practices is an "attack" on them?



Questions on Individual Passages in the Bible

Q: In Gen 1:26 and 3:22, why is the word "us" is used for the One True God? A: There are two possible answers.

- a. The "us" refers to the One true God, but plural is the proper sense for a conversation among the Trinity.
- b. The "royal we" was used of kings and gods for one person. As an example of this in the Middle Eastern religion if Islam, the Muslim Qur'an uses "us" and "we" when Allah is referring to himself. Muslims and non-Muslims agree that use of the "royal we" in the Qur'an does not suggest Mohammed taught that Allah was multiple gods.

See When Critics Ask p.30-31, When Cultists Ask p.20-21, and Today's Handbook for Solving Bible Difficulties p.180-182 for this and other views.

Q: In Gen 16:1, since Abraham and Sarai had no children, how could Abraham have more children after Isaac? (a Muslim asked this)

A: Genesis 16:1 shows it was Sarai, not Abraham, who was sterile. Abraham had more children, but Sarai did not. See *When Critics Ask* p.54 for more info.

Q: In Gen 16:1-8, since Hagar was a slave, was Abraham's sexual union with her forced rape, like Muslims are permitted by their religion to do? (See *Bukhari Hadiths* vol.3 no.113,432)

A: Genesis 16:4 says Hagar became Abram's wife, and she apparently did not object. In fact, Hagar was proud of her pregnancy and taunted Sarai. (Genesis 16:4,5) In the Old Testament, while marrying a captive was OK, nowhere was sex outside of marriage justified as anything but wicked immorality.

Q: In Gen 22:1-18, could the boy who Abraham almost sacrificed be Ishmael, not Isaac, as Muslims claim?

A: No, for at least four reasons:

- a. Genesis 22:2 says it was Isaac.
- b. In Genesis 21:8-10, the day Isaac was weaned, Ishmael was sent away.
- c. Genesis 22:16 calls Isaac Abraham's "only son", since Ishmael already was sent away to a different place.
- d. Since Ishmael was 14 when Isaac was born, Ishmael had already grown up and married an Egyptian in Genesis 21:20, Abraham was tested "a long time" after this in Genesis 21:34, and a "boy" was on the altar in Genesis 22:12.

Also, while the Muslim *Qur'an* discusses this in Sura 37:99-111, no where in the entire *Qur'an* does it say whether it was Ishmael or Isaac. See *When Critics Ask* p.52 for more info.



Q: In Gen 22:2, how was Isaac Abram's "only son"?

A: While Ishmael was born first, he was sent away by this time, and Isaac was the only son Abram still had with him. Isaac was the only heir, and only son can also mean beloved son. Genesis 21:12 says, "though Isaac your offspring shall be reckoned". Abraham had other sons too, but they were born after this. While the culture of the time accepted taking concubines for procreation, inheritance and the right of the firstborn would go to sons of actual wives, not the sons of concubines. See *Bible Difficulties and Seeming Contradictions* p.141 for more info.

Q: In Ex 19:11, where exactly is Mt. Sinai?

A: **Region:** The Sinai Peninsula is a south-pointing triangle with the mountains on the southern part, which Exodus 19:2 and Numbers 3:14; 9:1,5; 10:12 call the Wilderness ("Desert") of Sinai. The Desert of Sin separates Elim from Sinai. Numbers 33:3-50 tells each place the Israelites camped. Unfortunately, we do not know the location of many of these campsites, but by looking at them, we can see what is between what.

Within the Wilderness of Sinai, there are actually two mountains, close to each other, that fit the location of Mount Sinai.

- **Gebel Musa/Mousa** (7,363 ft) This is the traditional view, at least since about 500 A.D. It has very steep cliffs. The Monastery of St. Catherine is at the foot of this mountain. Many but not all Muslims view this as Mt. Sinai also. The *New International Dictionary of the Bible* p.674 has a picture of Jebel Musa.
- Ras es-safsafeh (6,540 ft 1993 meters) is two miles (3.2 km) north of Gebel Musa on the same ridge. It has a wider plain at its foot.
- **Gebel Serbal (unlikely):** Eusebius (325 A.D.) thought this. However, *The New Bible Dictionary* (1978) p.1193-1194 mentions there is no wilderness near its foot.

Muslims sometimes try to say Mt. Sinai is Mecca. After all Galatians 4:25 says Mt. Sinai is in Arabia. However, this is not the modern country of Saudi Arabia, but rather the Sinai Peninsula was a part of the Roman Province of Arabia. See either *The Roman World* by Cornell and Matthews (Stonehenge Press 1990) p.107 or *Encyclopedia Britannica* under Roman History for a map.

If Mt. Sinai were really Mecca, that would not make any difference to Christians, except that the stages of Israel's journey would no longer makes sense. It is apparently important to some Muslims however, as it would give credibility to the idea that Mecca had some part in God's work prior to Mohammed. However, other Muslims, such as the footnote 2504 in the *Holy Qur-an*: English Translation of the Meanings and Commentary equate Mt. Sinai with Jabal Musa, as do the majority of Christians.

Q: In Ex 20:4-5, is it OK for Christians to wear and have crosses and other religious art?

A: As reminders it is fine, just as Jews copied scripture as reminders based on Deuteronomy 6:8-9. However, neither crosses, nor scripture, nor anything else is to be worshiped in place of God. See *Hard Sayings of the Bible* p.145-146 *When Cultists Ask* p.33-34, 228-229 for more info.



Q: In Num 15:16, why is there one law for both the Israelites and non-Israelites in the land?

A: There was no discrimination in the law courts. The laws for murder, stealing, false witness, and so forth were the same for the Israelite as the non-Israelite. A testimony of a non-Israelite was counted the same as an Israelite, unlike what is true in many Muslim countries. However, it is true that the non-Israelites that lived in Israel had to respect some of the religious laws, but they did not have to bring sacrifices.

Q: In Dt 18:17-18; Dt 33:1-2, and Dt 34:10-11, was Mohammed prophesied in the Old Testament as some Muslims claim?

A: Deuteronomy 18:15-18 says God will raise up a prophet, that they will hear, like Moses from their midst, among their brethren. Was Jesus a prophet? Did many Jews hear Jesus? Was Jesus among the Jews? Was Jesus a Jew? Muslims should have no problem agreeing that this verse fits Jesus more than Mohammed. Here are a few more points.

- a. Deuteronomy 33:1-2 says "the Lord", and Muslims in general do not call Mohammed their Lord.
- b. Deuteronomy 34:10 that "since then there has not arisen in Israel a prophet like Moses." This epitaph was written, probably by Joshua, long before Jesus came.
- c. Deuteronomy 4:10 mentions "face to face", and Mohammed never said he got his words directly from Allah, but through angels (Sura 2:97). Jesus communicated directly with God the Father according to John 1:18 and other passages.
- d. The next verse, 4:11, says no other prophet did those awesome miracles that Moses did. Mohammed, according to what was written in the Qur'an (Sura 17:90-93) never performed miracles like these, except for reciting the Qur'an. (The Qur'an contradicts what later Muslim traditions in the Hadiths say.)
- e. In the Qur'an itself, Sura 29:27 says the prophethood came through Isaac and Jacob. In Yusuf Ali's translation of the Qur'an, he says, "And We gave (Abraham) Isaac and Jacob, and ordained Among his progeny Prophethood and Revelation,..." While the parentheses around Abraham is in Yusuf Ali's translation, the entire word, "Abraham" is not in the Arabic, and Yusuf Ali felt the need to add "Abraham" to what Muslims view as God's word.
- f. Finally, Jesus' apostle Peter said this was fulfilled in Jesus in Acts 3:22-26.
- 1. Either, Jesus made a great mistake allowing a deceiver like Peter to mislead people for almost 2,000 years who were trying to follow God, and God did not lift a finger to tell people the truth.
- **2. Or,** Jesus knew what He was doing when he selected Peter, and God did not correct something that needed no correction.
- **3.** Or else, Peter did not say that, and the book of Acts was corrupted prior to the first extra-Biblical mention we have of this referring to Christ, which was about 138 A.D. Here are the earliest Greek manuscripts we have of Acts 3:22-26, and their dates.
 - Vaticanus 325-350 A.D.



- Sinaiticus 340-350 A.D.
- Bohairic Coptic 3rd/4th cent.
- Alexandrinus c450 A.D.
- Sahidic Coptic 3rd/4rth cent.
- Ephraemi Rescriptus 5th
- Bezae Cantabrigiensis 5th,6th

Here are translations we have in other languages of these verses

- Armenian 5th century
- Georgian 5th century
- Latin Vulgate 4th to 5th century
- Ethiopic 6th century
- Syrian Peshitta 4th to 7th cent.

The early church fathers mentioned this verse as referring to Jesus. Some of them were

- Justin Martyr 138-165A.D. Irenaeus 182-188A.D.
- Tertullian 220-220 A.D.
- Origen 225-254 A.D.
- Chrysostom 407 A.D.

Justin Martyr was born around 114 A.D., though some think 110 A.D. His first Apology was written between 138 A.D. and his death in 165 A.D. Obviously, he had to have read of this prophecy referring to Christ before he wrote it down.

A Muslim would have to say not only that Justin was wrong, but all New Testament manuscripts recorded Peter's saying incorrectly.

In addition, translations to other languages were made very early; the dates above or not the dates of the first translations, but only the dates of the earliest manuscripts that survive today. These are valuable because they are an independent chain of transmission, that people can use as a crosscheck on the Greek manuscripts. The chain of transmission of these manuscripts, from Africa to Asia, all agree that Peter said this refers to Jesus. See *When Cultists Ask* p.43-44,45-46 and *When Critics Ask* p.125-126, p.131-132, and p.133 for more info.

Q: In Dt 18:20-22, how can you tell if a prophet is a false prophet?

A: The Bible gives two reasons and shows two qualifications.

- **1.** If they advocate worshipping other gods, or speak things about God that God did not command. Deuteronomy 18:20-21; 1 John 4:1-3; Jeremiah 6:13
 - ➤ Qualification 1. If a prophet speaks his opinion about what the true God wants, without prophesying, his opinions can be wrong just like anyone else's. For an example, see Nathan's words to David in 1 Chronicles 17:2-4. If the prophet makes a prediction that is false. Deuteronomy 18:21-22.
 - **Qualification 2.** If a prophet makes a conditional prophecy, and the conditions are not satisfied. Prophecies of judgment and destruction are always conditional upon the person or nation not repenting. For an example, see Jonah 3:1-10.

See When Critics Ask p.124-125 and When Cultists Ask p.42 for more info and a list of twelve ways to discern if a teacher is from God or not.

Q: In Dt 19:19, isn't it severe to punish a false witness with the same punishment the falsely accused was going to get?



A: Not at all. A person can murder with his words just as easily as with his hands. Whether they used their hands, or used their lies and the legal system, the falsely accused would still have been dead. At least with murder by their own hand, the victim's reputation is intact. Murdering by words destroys the person's reputation as well as kills their body.

Q: In 1 Sam 16:14,23, and 1 Sam 18:10, how did an evil spirit from God come on Saul?

A: In Hebrew, "evil" has two meanings: 1) moral evil and 2) something that is hurtful or harmful. The second meaning is intended here. Whether God sent an angel to punish Saul, or whether God allowed a demon to torment Saul, either way, this was expressly permitted by God.

Muslims should not be surprised that the term "evil" can mean harm, and not just moral evil. The term is used in this way in their own writings, in the *Bukhari Hadith* vol.3 no.56.

See When Critics Ask p.165-166, Difficulties in the Bible p.109-110, Hard Sayings of the Bible p.211-212, and Encyclopedia of Bible Difficulties p.178-180 for more info.

Q: In 1 Sam 25:4-35, was David running a protection racket, as a Muslim asked?

A: No. Four points to consider in the answer.

- **1.** David did not have a protection racket, since David, the one doing protecting, was giving wealth away to those he was protecting, as David did in 1 Samuel 30:26-31.
- **2.** David was not asking Nabal for 1) a regular payment, or 2) gold, silver, or any other valuables

David was merely requesting whatever food Nabal could spare. Nabal never complained of any request for money of valuables. Rather, 1 Samuel 25:11 shows that Nabal understood this request as only for bread, water, and meat.

- **3.** David later acknowledged, with regret, that he would have done evil to have killed Nabal and his men, according to 1 Samuel 25:13, 33-34, 39.
- **4.** David was not angry with Nabal because he broke any kind of agreement for protection. Rather, David was angry with Nabal' insulting answer in 1 Samuel 12:10-11.

It is understandable why a Muslim might think this idea, though. Muslims have historically had a special tax on just Christians and Jews called the Jizya. According to the *Bukhari Hadith* vol.2 p.7, in the glossary Jizya is a "Head-tax imposed by Islam on the people of the Scriptures and other people who have an releaved book (non-Muslims) when they are under Muslim rule." Muslims even say that Christians and Jews should be grateful for the Jizya, because without it, they would have no right to dwell in Muslim lands without being killed.

Q: In Isa 21:7, is the rider on "donkeys" Jesus, and the rider on "camels" Mohammed, as some Muslims claim?

A: No. These were messengers at that time coming to report that Babylon has fallen. The camel riders might be scouts, donkey riders might be civilians, and charioteers might be military men. If Muslims see some significance to desert dwellers here, the evil Midianites rode on camels too, but that is just as relevant. See *When Cultists Ask* p.79 and *When Critics Ask* p.269 for more info.



Q: In Isa 21:16-17, when was this prophecy of Kedar fulfilled?

A: Kedar was a well-known tribe in north Arabia, and the Assyrians and Babylonians would try to control Arabia too. *The Expositor's Bible Commentary* volume 6 p.137-138 mentions that Sargon II waged a campaign against the northern Arabian tribes in 715 B.C.

O: In Isa 29:13, how are some one's lips able to draw near to God, but their heart is far away?

A: People can join a religion for all kinds of reasons. I know one person who joined the Mormon Church, and later left it, because he thought he could have more than one wife. (He left when he learned that was only on earth). I heard of one Muslim fundamentalist who actually was an atheist. He told my friend that he thought it was a good way to live.

Others join a religion, or more commonly remain in a religion, because of custom, family, or a lack of desire to seek the truth.

Q: In Ps 45:3-5, does this refer to Mohammed, as some Muslims claim?

A: No, it cannot be seen this way, except possibly for some of the Ghulat sects of Islam that think Mohammed is God. Psalm 45:6 says "Your throne, O God, will last for ever and ever." (NIV) In addition to Mohammed never claiming to be God, Mohammed never had a throne or a scepter either.

Q: In the gospels, who else besides Jesus has claimed to be either a Messiah or God? A: The following people have either made the following claims, or else others made the following claims about them.

Christ returned
Rev. Jim Jones of Jonestown (died 11/16/1978)
Rev. Moon of the Unification Church
Jacob Katzan (1977-)
Guru Maharah Ji of the Divine Light Mission
Many Hindu and New Age gurus
A Jewish Messiah Come the First Time
A Jewish leader in 1500 A.D.
Sabbatai Sevi (17th century)
Rabbi Schneerson of New York (now dead)
Probably Theudas in Acts 5:36. He claimed to be
someone great
The Messiah, or Mahdi in Shi'ite Islamic
Religions
The Bahaullah (Baha'is)
Sliman Murshad of Syria (1900-1949)
STITUTE TO STITUTE (15 00 15 15)
The Mahdist movement in Sudan
The Mahdist movement in Sudan
The Mahdist movement in Sudan Mirza Ghulam Ahmad (1879, Ahmadiyya Movement)
The Mahdist movement in Sudan Mirza Ghulam Ahmad (1879, Ahmadiyya Movement) Many othersGod
The Mahdist movement in Sudan Mirza Ghulam Ahmad (1879, Ahmadiyya Movement) Many others
The Mahdist movement in Sudan Mirza Ghulam Ahmad (1879, Ahmadiyya Movement) Many othersGod



sort of a Muslim Tritheism.

Q: In the Gospels, did Jesus really die on the cross, or did God miraculously and undetectably substitute someone else as the Muslim Qur'an claims?

A: There are two views:

- Christians say it was really Jesus because all Christian and well as non-Christian accounts say it was Jesus. The Allah of Muslims is different from the God of the Bible, and Allah has fooled and deceived all his people into thinking Allah substituted another.
- **Muslims** say Allah is the same as the God of the Bible. Allah's switching Jesus would not be detectable by anyone, and thus there would be no historical evidence of the switch.
- **Both** can agree that at some point in time, Allah, the god of Islam, totally fooled and deliberately deceived all of Allah's own people.

Q: In Mt 5:17, since Jesus said he would not abolish anything in the law, why are the dietary laws no longer followed?

A: Four points to consider in the answer.

- **At this time,** Jesus' followers obeyed the Old Testament dietary laws.
- ❖ The fact of Jesus' resurrection, fundamentally changed the way God dealt with His children. An angel informed Peter, Jesus' apostle, that God had made all food clean in Acts 10:9-16. Note it does not say these animals were always clean, but rather that God had made them clean.
- ❖ Even Muslims who bring up this objection, themselves have to agree that some the Old Testament dietary laws are not to be followed. Muslims feel they can eat camel meat (and Mohammed did so), yet Leviticus 11:3-8; Deuteronomy 14:6-8 prohibit eating them.
- ❖ Regardless, The New Testament never canceled any Old Testament injunctions against recognizing or hoping for the intercession of idols or false gods. All the alleged Bible inconsistencies in the world cannot justify hoping for the intercession of Allah's daughter in the abrogated verses.

Q: In Mt 5:17, since Jesus said he would not abolish anything in the law, why are the Jewish festival days no longer followed?

A: Four points to consider in the answer.

- a. The moral requirements of the Old Testament Law are for all people, and are unchanged.
- b. The sacrifices can be said to be for all people today in one sense, because Jesus is our high priest and He performed all the sacrifice that is needed.
- c. The festival days, which were for the Jewish people, and involved sacrifices, are not followed since Christ's death by non-Jews.
- d. If a Muslim brings up this objection, one might ask why Muslims do not follow both Christian and Old Testament Jewish holidays, if they think Christians are to follow Old Testament Jewish ones.

See *Bible Difficulties and Seeming Contradictions* p.234-235 for more info.

Q: In Mt 15:10-20 and Mk 7:15-23, why did Jesus invalidate the Old Testament dietary commands here?



A: Jesus did not invalidate the Old Testament dietary commands, He superseded them. There are four points to consider here.

- a. While Jesus and His disciples sometimes broke the man-made traditions of the Pharisees, they themselves lived in obedience to the Old Testament Law.
- b. Even under the Old Testament, God was concerned with their obedience, and their diet was just one way, but not the only way, for them to demonstrate their obedience.
- c. After Jesus' resurrection launched a new period, the disciples did not choose to eat all kinds of meat. An angel of God came down and instructed a reluctant Peter to eat in Acts 10:11-16. Who was Peter to argue with an angel from Heaven?
- d. Muslims should not have any problem with this answer, as Mohammed allegedly did the same thing. He spoke of abrogated laws, (though abrogation is a little different concept from the New Covenant through Jesus). Also, he showed that Muslims could eat camel meat, and the Old Testament views camel meat just like pig meat. (Leviticus 11:4,7,26; Deuteronomy 14:7,8)

Q: In Mt 15:11,17-18, how is it only what comes out that defiles?

A: The context here is given in Matthew 15:20, where the Pharisees were concerned with eating with unwashed hands and Jesus was concerned with being pure on the inside.

If you were cooking something in a vessel, and liquid was slowly being added and slowly being drawn out, would you sample the inlet or the outlet to see whether the inside was the right temperature and on spec? Of course, you should sample the outlet. Likewise, Jesus was saying do not be too hung up on diet, because it is what comes out of you that can be defiling.

Q: In Lk 3:23-33, how could Mary be descended from Judah, since Elizabeth was from the daughters of Aaron in Lk 1:5, and Mary and Elizabeth were cousins in Lk 1:36?

A: The Bible does not specify the tribe of their mothers.

Therefore, Mary and Elizabeth could be cousins based on the following possibilities:

- a. If their mothers being were from an unspecified tribe.
- b. If Mary's mother was a sister of Elizabeth's father, and thus Mary's mother would be from Aaron and Levi.
- c. Mary's father being a brother of Elizabeth's mother, and thus Elizabeth's mother would be from Judah.

A Muslim saw this as proving Mary was descended from Aaron. This is important to Muslims, because if Mary is not from Aaron, then the Qur'an is in error. Muslims generally believe that the Qur'an on earth is a word for word copy of the Qur'an in Heaven.

See When Critics Ask p.381 for a similar answer.

Q: In Lk 16:17, since it is easier for heaven and earth to pass away than the least part of the law, why can Christians eat pork and camel meat, and why do they not do animal sacrifices anymore?

A: Jesus superseded the Old Testament Law here.



- **Food:** Jesus said it was not what went into a man that made him unclean, but what came out of a man, in Matthew 15:10,17-20 and Mark 7:14-15. Mark 7:19 adds that by this Jesus declared all foods clean. An angel of God commanded Peter to kill and eat formerly unclean animals in Acts 10:12-16. Muslims should be able to relate to this point, as Mohammed likewise did not obey the Old Testament Law when he ate camel meat.
- Sacrifices: The Israelites were to practice sacrifices; unfortunately for many it became a ritual where the meaning was lost. Sacrifice is as important, or even more important, to Christians than to the Israelites, and Hebrews 7:23-10:26 show. We too need a sacrifice, and our sacrifice was performed once and for all by our high priest, Jesus Christ, who sacrificed Himself on the cross.

Q: In Jn 3:16, since Jesus is not a created being, how can He be begotten of God?

A: Begotten does not mean created, as we can create things but we beget children. Many Muslims have a slight similarity in their belief about the Qur'an being from Allah without being created by Allah. Before the beginning of time, Jesus, who is also called the Word of God, came from God the Father. So, in different ways, both Christians and many Muslims say the Word of God came from God but was uncreated. See 1001 Bible Questions Answered p.26-27, the Complete Book of Bible Answers p.109, and When Cultists Ask p.166 for more info.

O: In Jn 3:24, since God is Spirit, how can Jesus be the Son of God?

A: Christians do NOT believe Jesus was the Son of God in a crude physical or sexual sense. Rather this term is an expression of deep meaning of how Jesus is different from every created being. Now Muslims do not believe that Allah has literal fingers. Rather, the term "finger of God" is not meant physically, but as an expression.

Q: In Jn 14:16-26; 15:26; 16:5-15, was Mohammed prophesied in the New Testament as the *Paracletos*, or Holy Spirit?

A: No. Perhaps the Muslim reader would like to read what He is agreeing to before he actually agrees. Here are the Bible passages. The numbers after the underlined words refer to the questions that follow.

In 14:16-17"...and he will give you another Counselor to be with you forever² - the Spirit of truth. The world <u>cannot</u>³ accept him, because it neither sees him nor knows him. But you know him, for he <u>lives with you</u>⁴ and will <u>be in you</u>⁵.

Jn 14:26 "But the Counselor, the Holy Spirit, whom the Father will send in my name⁶, will teach you all things and will remind you of everything I have said to you ...

Jn 15:26 "When the Counselor comes, whom <u>I will send to you</u>⁷ from the Father..."

Jn 16:7 "...<u>Unless I go away</u>⁸, the Counselor will not come to you; but if I go, <u>I will send</u>

Jn 16:8-9 "When he comes, he will convict the world ... in regard to sin, because men do not believe in me⁹;...'

In 16:14 "He will bring glory to me¹⁰ by taking what is mine and making it known to you¹¹

If Mohammed was prophesied here, then Muslims would believe these five things (which they do not)

a. Mohammed glorified Jesus (John 16:14)

b. Allah sent Mohammed in Jesus' name (John 14:26)



MINISTRIES

- c. Mohammed was also sent by Jesus too (John 16:7)
- d. Mohammed took Jesus' wisdom and made it known to us (John 16:15)
- e. Mohammed was "in" the apostles (John 16:17)

Thus, no knowledgeable Muslim would believe these verses refer to Mohammed. These verses must refer to another, who was sent from God.

On the other hand, maybe Muslims should glorify Jesus, if they think that Mohammed did based on these verses.

See When Cultists Ask p.182-183 and When Critics Ask p.419-420 for more info.

Q: In Acts 10:10-16, why don't Christians follow the Old Testament dietary laws like allegedly Muslims do?

A: Three points to consider in the answer.

- a. Muslims do not practice Old Testament dietary laws either, since Muslims eat camel meat.
- b. In Matthew 15:10,17-20 and Mark 7:14-15 Jesus said it is what comes out of a man that makes him unclean, not what goes in. Mark 7:19 shows that by this Jesus declared all foods clean.
- c. In Acts 10:10-16, a voice from heaven commanded Peter to eat, showing him that the dietary laws were only in effect until Jesus' sacrifice, not

Q: In Acts 17:28-29, does this show that a Heavenly father and mother gave birth to spirit-children, as Mormons have taught?

A: No. Two points to consider in the answer.

- a. Note the absence of any reference to any goddess or mother.
- b. Note the absence of any reference to sexual activity on God's part. Muslims often falsely think Christians believe God can have sexual relations. Genuine Christians have never said God is a sexual being, though Mormons do teach that God is a sexual being. See When Cultists Ask p.203-204 for more info.

Q: In Rom 7:6, how do we serve in the new way of the spirit, not the old way of the letter, since Jesus said in Lk 16:16-17 that not one jot would disappear from the Law?

A: The Law has not been changed, either by Jesus or anyone else. However, Jesus completely fulfilled the Law for us, and now we serve in the new way of the Spirit, not in the old way of the law. Jesus said in Luke 16:16 that the law and prophets were until John.

This differs from the Muslim concept of abrogation. Words given through Mohammed could "cancel out" previous words of Mohammed, Jesus, or any other prophet, seemingly at will. Jesus did not cancel the Law, He met it for us, and now we serve in a different way.

For example, the Old Testament prohibited eating camel meat and pork. Jesus, in pronouncing all food clean (Matthew 7:19), did not alter the Old Testament Law, but had us serve in a new way.



As a side note, Muslims occasionally point out that Christians do not keep the Old Testament dietary laws. Muslims do not either though, because they view Mohammed eating camel meat was OK. Christians do not criticize Muslims (or anyone else) eating camel meat either. The point is that if Muslims were to criticize Jesus or Christians for teaching that supersedes the law, any reason they give would most likely also be a criticism of Mohammed for eating camel meat and claiming to abrogate some things.

Q: In Gal 3:1, can some Christians be bewitched?

A: Paul is not saying that. Paul is hypothetically asking if they were, because of his surprise at how quickly many Galatians were turning to a different and false gospel.

As a side note, in the religion of Islam, one thing Christians and Sunni Muslims can agree on is that, at least at one time, Mohammed was bewitched by an evil spell and said things that were false. You can read the *Bukhari Hadith* (vol.4 no. 400 p.267, vol.4 no.490 p.317, and vol.8 no.400 p.266) for a complete account of how it says that Mohammed was at one time bewitched by an evil spell. Muslims in general think Mohammed recovered.

Q: In Jms 1:13, how is no one tempted by God, since many verses say God tested them?

A: James 1:13 does not say God never tests us, but rather, God does not tempt us with evil. God tested Abraham, and God allowed Satan to afflict Job, but God never comes to us bringing evil or temptation. See *Hard Sayings of the Bible* p.694-696 for more info.

Testing is asking to do something; tempting is appearing as an evil being. In contrast to this, Allah does appear as an evil, idolatrous god to his faithful followers in the *Bukhari Hadith*. Here are the words:

"...and then only this nation (i.e., Muslims) will remain, including their hypocrites. Allah will come to them in a shape other than they know and will say, 'I am your Lord.' They will say, 'We seek refuge with Allah from you. This is our place; (we will not follow you) till our Lord comes to us, and when our Lord comes to us, we will recognise Him.' Then Allah will come to them in a shape they know and will say, 'I am your Lord.' They will say, (no doubt) You are our Lord,' and they will follow Him." *Bukhari* vol.8 no.577 p.375. *Bukhari* vol.9 no.532 p.395-396 says the same thing.

Q: In 2 Pet 1:21, exactly how were the writers of the Bible carried along by the Holy Spirit?

A: The word for carried along is used in Acts 27:15,17 of a ship carried by the wind. Here are four points concerning the inspiration of the Bible.

1. Gods Words Through Men

1a. Most revelation is through the ideas and thoughts of men the Lord especially chose. Revelation 1:19 gives one example. None of it was just man's word, though (2 Peter 1:19-21)

1b. Some revelation was the direct words, such as in John 12:28, Isaiah 6; Exodus 3:4,5, and so forth.

1c. God used the individual style of the human authors in writing. Nothing was written as God's Word that God did not want written or was not true.

1d. The Bible is not a secret code book. The meanings of words are not whatever modern definitions we feel like giving them, but are the normative meanings understood by the native speakers of the languages at that time.



2. God's Ability to Communicate to Us

2a. We cannot understand everything about an infinite God who is transcendent and "other" than us. Nevertheless, we have the responsibility to learn and understand what God has revealed to us.

2b. God communicates in ways we understand. He is free to move us with poetry, explain things to us with analogies, and simplify things with anthropomorphic expressions. God can use idioms, like "sunset", and God can use our categories of things, like calling a rabbit by the Hebrew classification of animals that chew the cud.

2c. God's Word contains both propositional truth and a presentation of Himself. It contains both positive language about what things are true, and negative language about what things are false.

2d. The human writers could refer to non-Biblical sources, such as Jewish history books (Book of Jasher Joshua 10:13; 2 Samuel 1:18, etc.), and even Greek poets where they spoke what is true (Acts 17:23,28; Titus 1:12).

See *Philosophy of Religion* by Norman L. Geisler p211-291 for more on God and human language.

3. God's Word is All True

3a. All scripture is from God, and God's Word in the original manuscripts. It is all true on which all it speaks. Truth is not contradictory. Scripture does not merely contain the Word of God, it is the Word of God. (Romans 3:1-2; John 10:35; Proverbs 30:5-6; 2 Timothy 3:15; 2 Samuel 22:31; Psalm 33:4; Psalm 119:151)

3b. Scripture is not only accurate, it has the precision required for us to know God's meaning. The precision extends even down to tenses and number of words, as in Psalm 82:6 and Matthew 22:32. However, scripture often uses approximations, especially of time and quantity.

3c. God does not lie. However, not telling us everything on a subject is not lying. For example, if at least three women were at Jesus' tomb in Mark 16:1, Matthew 28:1 is still true in only mentioning two of the women. The New Testament has the exact substance of Jesus' teaching, but not always the exact words. (Matthew 22:37; Matthew 13:22; Mark 12:30; Mark 4:19.)

3d. Scripture is complete, in telling us all we need to know for salvation and for growing in faith. Scripture is not an encyclopedia, nor does it claim to tell us everything about everything.

3e. God allowed some transmittal errors, but His Word stands forever. Isaiah 59:21; Isaiah 40:8; Psalm 119:89. His Word is preserved infallibly (without significant error) up through today. (Isaiah 55:11; 1 Peter 1:23-25; Psalm 119:89,91,144,160)

4. Our Response

4a. We should cherish and love Scripture as precious to us (Psalm 56:10; Psalm 119:72,97,105,120). We are not to worship scripture, but praise God who gave us Scripture. (Psalm 119:12). We should delight in God's law (Psalm 119:16,35,47) and "tremble" at God's word (Isaiah 66:5). Our hope is in God's word (Psalm 119:74,81).

4b. We realize that we are saved by Jesus, not by studying Scripture. John 5:39-40; James 1:22-25; 1 Corinthians 13:2

4c. Value Scripture (Acts 13:48; Colossians 3:16) for teaching, rebuking, correcting, and training in righteousness (2 Timothy 3:16-17) and for help in temptation (Psalm 119:10).



4d. We should diligently read and study Scripture (Acts 17:11; Revelation 1:3). We should interpret it. Not twist or misinterpret it (2 Peter 3:16). We must not add to or go beyond God's Word (Proverbs 30:5-6; 1 Corinthians 4:6-7; Deuteronomy 4:2; Revelation 22:18-19; Ecclesiastes 12:12; Mark 7:13)

4e. Some Old Testament commands have been fulfilled and are not to be done, such as eating pork, sacrifices, etc.. (Acts 10:9-16; 15:1,5-29; Mark 7:19; Galatians 5:2-4; Hebrews 9:9-10; 10:18)

4f. Scripture is not just suggestions for life, but it is authoritative in our lives (Psalm 119:33-34; John 8:37; John 12:48-50; John 14:23). We should believe all God teaches and obey all of God's commands. (John 14:23-24)

For Muslim readers, a Christian's view of the inspiration of the Bible differs from a Muslim's view of the inspiration of the Qur'an. Most Muslims believe the Qur'an on earth is an exact, "mechanical dictation" copy of a Qur'an on a tablet [in Heaven] in Sura 85:20-22.

See the discussion on the Bible for the difference between inerrancy and hyper-literalness. See *The Complete Book of Bible Answers* p.16 for more info and seven incorrect views and why they are wrong. For a very extensive discussion, see *Christian Theology* by Millard Erickson p.175-259.

Q: In 1 Jn 5:7,8, how could God let a sentence get added to the Bible?

A: 1 John 5:7,8 was likely an added sentence. We know this because we have the testimony of Greek manuscripts. Whether this sentence is in or out, does not change Christian doctrine.

According to *Aland et al*, it is in the "A" category that this sentence was not in the original manuscript. In other words, the "A" category is "virtually certain" that the 25 disputed words in 1 John 5:7-8 are a later addition.

Muslims in particular should not have much problem with this particular issue. We do not have such corresponding testimony of 'Uthman's changes in the *Qur'an* because he burned the copies of the Qur'an that others could use to cross-check him. A few survive today, in a Museum in Cairo, and they do show changes.

Allah is found in Jewish and Christian inscriptions before Muhammad's time in Yemen and Nabatea, according to some dictionaries on Islam.